Visual Content Creation in the Digital Age: The Dialectic of Responsibility and Image Construction

Nadia Djiti [1*]

[1] University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla (Algeria), djiti.nadia@univ-ouargla.dz

Abstract:

This article explores the ethical tension between responsibility and image construction in visual content creation within the digital environment. Drawing on concepts such as communication ethics and the social responsibility theory of media, it examines the algorithmic pressures on content creators and highlights recurring ethical breaches, including visual misinformation and the commodification of suffering.

These trends reflect a broader cultural crisis that rewards sensationalism over values. In response, the article proposes flexible guiding principles to promote ethical self-regulation and professional awareness, while emphasizing the roles of media literacy, platforms, and legal frameworks in fostering a more humane and responsible digital space.

Keywords: Visual Content Creation, Image Construction, Digital Ethics, Social Responsibility, Digital Age

---

* Corresponding author

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, the world has undergone a profound transformation in modes of communication and knowledge dissemination, driven by the digital revolution. This revolution has not only converted traditional media into electronic formats, but has also reshaped collective consciousness through innovative visual tools—foremost among them, visual content creation. This form of media, which combines visual appeal with high viral potential, has evolved from a means of individual expression into a form of soft power that shapes public opinion, influences social values, and sets cultural trends. This development raises a central ethical question: to what extent is such power exercised within frameworks of moral responsibility and social accountability?

As digital platforms accelerate and transform into open public stages, image production has become a strategic act intersecting with complex issues such as representation, marginalization, hate speech, and visual misinformation. This reality imposes new ethical challenges on video content creators, who have become influential media agents without being subject to editorial institutions or binding professional codes-thus increasing the risk of disregarding the ethical dimension of their practices.

This study aims to present a critical approach rooted in theoretical frameworks of digital ethics and social responsibility within the field of digital media. It explores the dialectical relationship between image-making and ethical commitment among visual content creators. The paper will offer a conceptual framing of key terms, an analysis of prevailing practices, and a critical examination of ethical lapses increasingly prevalent in an environment driven by metrics of popularity and algorithmic visibility.

Moreover, this article proposes a practical normative framework that could lead to the formulation of an "ethical principles" for creators of visual content-one that seeks to balance freedom of expression with an acute awareness of collective responsibility in the digital age.

2. CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Understanding the ethical dilemma surrounding visual content creation in the digital age requires a return to the conceptual foundations framing the debate. These include key terms such as visual content creation, image construction, digital ethics, and social responsibility. Approaching these concepts through a critical lens contributes to the development of a robust theoretical framework, enabling a systematic analysis of contemporary digital practices within rigorous methodological boundaries.

2.1 Visual Content Creation: Definition and Transformation

Visual content creation refers to the production of digital media in video format, typically disseminated through social media platforms or dedicated online channels such as YouTube, TikTok, and Instagram. This form of media has evolved from a spontaneous individual activity into a full-fledged industry that generates millions in revenue and exerts a wide-reaching influence on public opinion and popular taste.

According to Hall and Gill (2019), visual content creation constitutes a form of "alternative media" that disrupts traditional barriers in publishing and representation, offering individuals a broader space for self-expression. However, this expanded space is accompanied by complex challenges related to the absence of media governance and the weakness of binding ethical standards.

2.2 Digital Image Construction

In digital media, image construction extends beyond the act of filming or visual editing; it becomes a symbolic process that reshapes reality from the perspective of the content creator and reproduces meaning within social and cultural frameworks. As Bourdieu (1993) argues, the image constitutes a form of symbolic power that can reinforce biased representations and marginalize certain voices or groups.

Image construction involves more than selecting camera angles or applying visual filters-it encompasses an implicit narrative, often aligned with ideological messaging or driven by the pursuit of audience engagement. This makes it a potent tool for reproducing stereotypes or commodifying human experiences, especially in contexts of suffering or violence.

2.3 Digital Ethics and Social Responsibility

Digital ethics are defined as "a normative framework of principles that govern behavior in digital environments, including the production, dissemination, and reception of content" (Ess, 2021). These principles encompass issues such as privacy, transparency, accuracy, fairness, and respect for others. Social responsibility, on the other hand, is rooted in a longstanding media theory which holds that freedom of expression must be accompanied by a commitment to serving the public interest and avoiding harm-whether material or symbolic (McQuail, 2010).

However, the current digital landscape reflects a significant imbalance between freedom and responsibility. Freedom is often exercised without due consideration for its social impact, rendering digital ethics little more than theoretical ideals that are rarely activated-except in cases of delayed or reactive regulation.

- **Digital Ethics : Concept and Contextual Specificity**

Digital ethics refer to the moral principles that regulate the behavior of individuals and institutions within digital spaces—particularly in areas such as information production and exchange, privacy, intellectual property, digital identity, and mutual respect. The need for such ethics arises from the overwhelming influence of technology across all aspects of life and the emergence of unprecedented practices that demand new forms of regulation.

According to Ess (2021), digital ethics are not a replacement for traditional ethics, but rather an extension thereof, adapted to a context where the nature of the medium, the speed of dissemination, and the scale of impact differ fundamentally. These ethics are fluid and evolving, requiring constant reassessment in response to the rapid development of platforms and algorithmic systems.

- **The Ethical Interpretation of Visual Content Creation**

This integrative perspective allows us to move beyond impressionistic moral judgments toward a deeper, multidimensional analysis of visual content creation. It is a practice that encompasses content, context, audience, and impact. Understanding the ethical responsibility of the content creator goes beyond criticizing errors ; it involves reexamining the conditions of production, the digital environment, and the creator's underlying value system.

The dialectic between responsibility and image construction in visual content must be examined through three interrelated dimensions, each offering a distinct analytical entry point for understanding the ethical framework surrounding this form of media.

➤ **Ethical Media Theory**

This theory is grounded in the principle that media -whether traditional or digital- should not be ethically neutral. Rather, it should operate within value-based frameworks that prioritize the public good. Developed by scholars such as Christians et al. (2009), the theory advocates for the integration of ethical principles -such as truth, justice, human dignity, and cultural diversity- into the core of the media production process.

The value dimension embedded in ethical media theory raises a fundamental question : To what extent does digital content align with human values such as honesty, justice, dignity, and a commitment to truth ? When content is produced without ethical awareness, it risks becoming a tool for commodifying human experience or disseminating misinformation-both of which can negatively shape public consciousness and collective behavior.

In the realm of visual content creation, a crucial question emerges : Is the content creator striving for "truth and enlightenment," or merely seeking "impact and entertainment" ? In this context, ethics are not optional- they are essential for legitimizing digital discourse.

Therefore, it is not enough for content to be entertaining or popular ; it must also be subject to ethical critique that interrogates whether it serves the truth, distorts public awareness, or reinforces cultural hegemony.

➤ **Communication Ethics**

This approach focuses on the ethical dimensions that govern the interaction between sender and receiver, emphasizing principles such as honesty, transparency, fairness, and cultural sensitivity. It draws heavily from the work of Jürgen Habermas on "communicative action," which links ethics to the speaker's ability to engage in rational, non-coercive dialogue.

In visual content creation, interaction -through comments, shares, and reproductions- is a central feature. This makes the intentions behind each video, as well as the anticipated audience reaction, integral to its ethical evaluation.

The communicative dimension of media ethics calls into question the content creator's intent in addressing the audience : Is the audience treated as an active, recognized "other," or merely as a passive consumer ? This distinction highlights the importance of respecting diversity, and avoiding emotional manipulation or polarized messaging in service of commercial or ideological agendas.

The absence of this ethical lens may lead to serious deviations such as the reinforcement of stereotypes, the normalization of hate speech, or the implicit promotion of discrimination.

➢ **The Social Responsibility Theory of the Media**

This is one of the oldest and most influential theories in the field of media studies, originating from the 1947 report by the United States' Commission on Freedom of the Press, which emphasized the need to balance freedom of expression with service to the public interest. The theory asserts that media professionals are accountable to the public, not merely to employers or market forces.

When applied to the context of visual content creation, social responsibility becomes closely tied to the ethical quality of video content in terms of :

- Its accuracy and avoidance of misinformation ;
- Fair representation of diverse social groups ;
- Prevention of symbolic or psychological harm ;
- Contribution to the development of collective awareness.

Some scholars have proposed the concept of "digital ethical citizenship" as a contemporary extension of this theory, arguing that every content creator is, by default, a social actor with moral obligations toward their audience.

The social dimension of this theory foregrounds the civic function of visual content and raises a critical question : Does this content serve the public good, or does it promote symbolic harm and normalized triviality ? When the logic of virality (likes and shares) takes precedence over public benefit, visual content creation becomes an act of individual irresponsibility-one that undermines, rather than strengthens, shared social values.

These three dimensions together form an integrated critical framework through which current digital practices can be deconstructed and assessed—revealing the extent to which they align with, or deviate from, the ethical structures required in an open and rapidly evolving digital environment.

3. VISUAL CONTENT CREATION BETWEEN FREEDOM AND RESPONSIBILITY

In today's digital landscape, visual content creation has emerged as a powerful tool of individual expression-one that amplifies personal presence and allows content creators to share their ideas, identities, and lived experiences with vast audiences, without the need for traditional intermediaries. However, this open environment -characterized by minimal institutional oversight-raises an urgent ethical question : Where does freedom end, and where does responsibility begin ?

3.1 Freedom of Expression in Visual Content Creation: Potentials and Risks

Freedom of expression is widely regarded as a fundamental right, one that has been earned through long-standing political and intellectual struggles. The digital sphere represents a new extension of this right, offering open and accessible platforms that have liberated media discourse from institutional control and empowered individuals to represent their identities and ideas with relative freedom.

However, this very freedom also carries multiple risks-chief among them, the loosening of ethical standards and the growing reliance on sensationalism and misinformation as means of attracting attention. As McNair (2017) notes, "freedom from censorship does not imply freedom from ethics ; rather, it demands an intensified form of critical self -awareness- especially when a single image can ignite widespread societal controversy" (p. 92).

Moreover, the reactive nature of engagement on social media platforms creates an emotionally charged environment, placing content creators under constant pressure to produce what provokes, rather than what enriches. In this sense, unbounded freedom can transform content into a tool for symbolic domination or emotional manipulation.

3.2 Ethical and Social Responsibility

In contrast, responsibility refers to the ethical dimension that inherently accompanies freedom of expression—it does not contradict it, but rather complements it. A content creator is accountable not only for the substance of their video, but also for its impact on the audience, and for any potential social or psychological consequences it may trigger.

Fatima Abu Rayan (2021) argues that ethical responsibility in visual content creation begins with the question of intent : Am I aiming to educate or to provoke ? And it extends to the question of impact : Will this content cause moral harm, cultural marginalization, or epistemic distortion ? (p. 66).

Revisiting the social responsibility theory of the media, the role of content is not merely to inform or entertain, but to serve the community and promote civic dialogue (Christians et al., 2009). This perspective demands that the content creator regard themselves not merely as a digital hobbyist, but as an active media agent.

3.3 The Dilemma of "Virality vs. Ethics"

Daily digital practice reveals that the logic of virality -measured through views and engagement- now competes with, and often overshadows, the logic of quality and ethics. As Lanier (2018) warns, digital algorithms do not reward depth or balance ; rather, they tend to favor content that is sensational, shocking, or emotionally polarizing (p. 42).

This algorithmic incentive structure leads many content creators to conform to what might be termed the "ethics of the platform," in which content is reshaped to satisfy platform dynamics rather than ethical awareness or the public good. A central dilemma emerges here : Is the creator seeking the approval of the platform, or striving to serve their community ? Are they producing for the trending algorithm, or for the collective conscience ?

The relationship between freedom and responsibility in visual content creation is not one of contradiction, but of conditional complementarity, grounded in ethical awareness and commitment. The broader the sphere of influence, the greater the consequences of ethical neglect. In this context, the creator's self-awareness becomes a form of "alternative oversight" in a digital environment largely devoid of institutional accountability. Ethical content creation, therefore, is only realized

when the creator recognizes that every published image is a stance, and that every potential interaction is the beginning of an impact—whether positive or negative.

4. THE REALITY OF VISUAL CONTENT CREATION

Analyzing the current landscape of visual content creation is a scientific necessity for diagnosing the nature of dominant digital practices, and for distinguishing between content that reflects genuine value-driven commitment and that which promotes alarming ethical laxity. The digital sphere offers unprecedented freedom for video production without institutional constraints, making it a space that accommodates contradictory practices : on the one hand, responsible discourses that contribute to intellectual and social development ; on the other, content that slides toward unchecked entertainment, misinformation, and symbolic harm directed at vulnerable groups.

4.1. Indicators of Value-Driven Commitment in Visual Content

Despite an often bleak outlook, the Arab and global digital landscape is not devoid of commendable examples that offer meaningful content combining visual appeal with knowledge, and impactful delivery with social responsibility. Notable manifestations of this ethical commitment include :

- **Symbolic empowerment of marginalized groups** : Content that highlights the stories of refugees, persons with disabilities, and women in ways that respect their humanity without exploiting the viewer's emotions.
- **Knowledge dissemination and awareness-building** : Educational, scientific, health, environmental, and pedagogical videos that simplify without trivializing, and entertain without degrading.
- **Challenging deviation through values** : Visual content that actively critiques hate speech, discrimination, or excessive consumerism from within the digital space itself.

Sabir (2021) notes that "some content creators in the Arab world have begun to adopt conscious strategies to deliver knowledge in engaging formats, without succumbing to ethical deterioration despite the pressures of platform algorithms" (p. 78).

4.2. Indicators of Ethical Laxity in Digital Content

Conversely, there is a widespread prevalence of ethically lax practices fueled by the logic of engagement and profit, with little regard for moral responsibility. Prominent examples include :

- **Visual and emotional manipulation** : The use of music, effects, and sensational headlines to elicit emotional responses from viewers without delivering substantive content.
- **Exploitation of vulnerable populations** : Filming children, the ill, or the impoverished in commercial or performative contexts, without respect for their dignity or informed consent.
- **Intellectual trivialization and content hollowing** : Videos that capitalize on ignorance, frivolity, or absurd challenges, all under the guise of "entertainment."
- **Fabrication of events** : Staged or falsified content presented as real, with the aim of deceiving audiences and boosting engagement at the expense of truth.

As Al-Jabali (2022) observes, "more than half of the popular video content circulating on digital platforms relies on sensationalist formats that marginalize intellectual values and promote emotional consumption" (p. 94).

4.3. **Between Value-Driven Appeal and Algorithmic Seduction**

At the heart of the contemporary dilemma of visual content creation lies the algorithm-an invisible actor that redefines the standards of success and shapes the content creator's perception of what "must" be produced in order to be seen. The matter is no longer a purely free choice; in many cases, it is a disguised submission to algorithmic mechanisms that -through the force of numbers- push creators toward producing content that spreads rapidly, even if it lacks substantive value.

As Gillespie (2018) notes, "algorithms do not merely present what we want; they gradually train us into new preferences, dictating what we must create in order to be viewed" (p. 14). This behavioral programming- driven by the accumulation of statistical feedback- gradually leads creators to abandon their value- based choices in favor of content that pleases the platform and boosts metrics.

➤ **Mechanisms of Algorithmic Influence on Content Orientation**
- **Positive Reinforcement Algorithms :**
  - These algorithms reward emotionally charged videos- those that provoke laughter, anger, or shock- with greater visibility and wider reach.
  - Content creators quickly learn that provocative content is rewarded, while calm, educational material is largely ignored.
- **Prioritizing Speed of Engagement Over Depth :**
  - Platforms like YouTube, TikTok, and Facebook prioritize videos that elicit immediate clicks, shares, or emotional comments, regardless of their substance or accuracy.
  - As a result, many creators produce condensed, emotionally charged content that is shareable- but often devoid of meaningful value.
- **Algorithmic Herding :**
  - When a large audience begins interacting with a particular content trend (pranks, absurd challenges, shocking clips), algorithms amplify its visibility-encouraging other creators to mimic the trend in order to stay competitive.
- **Suppression of Value-Based or Critical Content :**
  - Content that is intellectually rich or raises critical questions often receives little promotion, as it does not generate instant engagement. This leads to frustration among ethical creators and pushes them toward algorithm-friendly formats-typically superficial or sensational.

What is occurring here is not a matter of technological neutrality, but rather a restructuring of the rules of the game by a digital architecture that prioritizes user retention over values. The deeper risk lies in the following algorithmic distortions:
- **Falsifying the sense of success**: "Virality" becomes the de facto measure of quality, and trivial content creators are perceived as "successful."

- **Corrupting professional perception**: Content creators internalize the notion that ethics have little currency in the digital realm unless reinforced by visual manipulation or emotional drama.
- **Eroding ethical culture**: As ethically unregulated content triumphs over value-based creation, public taste is reshaped not by collective moral awareness, but by the logic of platform-driven programming.

Lanier (2018) encapsulates this concern, stating: "Algorithms do not merely monitor our choices; they redesign our digital selves to fit the platform's logic, not the conscience's measure" (p. 112).

5. IMAGE CONSTRUCTION IN VISUAL CONTENT CREATION

In the context of visual content creation, the image is more than just a visual representation or technical output-it is a complex symbolic discourse that conveys cultural, psychological, and ideological meanings. It plays a decisive role in shaping audience perception and framing how reality is represented. A video -with its combination of direction, camera angle, sound, lighting, and editing- does not simply reproduce reality as it is ; rather, it reconstructs it through the "language of the image," which may either convey meaning or distort it-consciously or unconsciously.

5.1. The Image as Discourse : From Representation to Framing

Image construction in visual content creation involves deliberate framing processes through which events, individuals, or ideas are presented within a specific context, while other elements are selectively omitted. This results in what can be described as "intentional interpretation" or "implicit bias." As Bourdieu (1993) notes, the image is far from neutral—it is a tool for imposing a particular vision of the world, exercised through symbolic power that may exclude, marginalize, or reinforce cultural hegemony (p. 29).

In the digital environment, this becomes particularly evident in the representation of marginalized groups -such as the poor, persons with disabilities, or women- whose identities are often reduced to stereotypes or exploited for sensational or superficial empathy, without granting them an authentic voice.

5.2. The Psychological Impact of the Image: From Perception to Behavior

The image plays a fundamental role in shaping the "collective perception" of issues, symbols, and individuals. It influence emotions, impressions, and behaviors to a degree that surpasses the impact of written language. Research in media psychology has shown that moving images stimulate brain regions associated with emotion and attention more intensely than textual content (Zillmann & Brosius, 2000).

This influence is further amplified when editing techniques, music, and sound effects are employed to craft an emotionally charged image-one that steers the viewer toward a predetermined emotional response, rather than inviting rational or critical reflection.

This is where the danger of emotionally manipulative media arises : perception is directed without being enriched with meaning, resulting in a visually affected audience that is cognitively unaware.

5.3. Narrative Strategies and Visual Manipulation

Many visual content creators rely on what is known as "visual storytelling," which combines documentation with dramatic appeal in constructing a digital narrative. However, this mode of storytelling often involves strategies of omission, exaggeration, and selective framing-frequently employed to serve commercial or ideological objectives.

According to framing theory (Entman, 1993), the way an image is presented fundamentally shapes the meaning received by the audience. A particular filming moment, a specific camera angle, or a chosen voiceover can dramatically alter the perceived significance of an event.

In the absence of ethical governance, such framing can become a form of systematic manipulation, especially when images are used to mislead viewers or to justify ethically or culturally biased positions.

Visual content creation does not merely reflect reality, it reconstructs it through a form of "visual engineering" driven by the creator's choices. Thus, image-making becomes a symbolic responsibility that demands critical awareness on one hand, and an ethical framework on the other, to prevent the image from turning into a tool of distortion rather than enlightenment.

In a digital world where algorithms value content based on view counts, it is imperative to reassert the importance of image substance, credibility, and representational fairness recognizing that the image constitutes a form of discourse that shapes collective consciousness, not merely an ephemeral snapshot in an entertainment stream.

6. UNETHICAL PRACTICES IN VISUAL CONTENT CREATION

While visual content creation offers opportunities for expression, communication, and expanded influence, everyday practices on digital platforms reveal troubling patterns of unethical use. These manifest in various forms, including media misinformation, emotional manipulation, marginalization of vulnerable groups, and the commodification of human suffering. Such deviations are not merely the result of ignorance ; they stem increasingly from submission to the logic of the digital marketplace, which rewards "provocative visibility" rather than "responsible meaning.

6.1. Visual Misinformation and the Construction of Alternative Realities

One of the most dangerous forms of ethical deviation in visual content creation lies in the production of visual discourse that promotes false or distorted information, whether through manipulative editing, decontextualization of events, or the use of authentic footage within fabricated scenarios.

As noted in a recent study by Berger and Frey (2022), "videos that employ dramatic narrative structures are capable of penetrating audience perception without activating critical thinking mechanisms, making them ideal vehicles for spreading misinformation" (p. 211). The danger

intensifies when misinformation is presented as direct documentation, granting it a "halo of visual credibility" that is difficult to deconstruct, except by a critically aware or media-literate audience.

Examples of such practices are abundant : videos that claim to speak in the name of "science," "religion," or "expertise," yet are grounded in distorted concepts and wrapped in professional aesthetics that conceal their lack of substance.

6.2 Symbolic Marginalization and the Reproduction of Stereotypes

When visual content creation fails to interrogate its representational authority, it can become a vehicle for reproducing social invisibility-namely, the exclusion of groups that lack fair and diverse representation within the digital sphere. These groups -such as women, the poor persons with disabilities, and migrants- are often depicted either as perpetual victims or as figures alien to the "socially accepted discourse," thereby reinforcing their marginalization not only visually, but also within collective consciousness.

A comprehensive study by Okumbo and Morales (2021) highlights that "in popular entertainment videos, marginalized figures are frequently used as props for humor or as secondary visual elements, without being granted a voice or narrative depth" (p. 63). This form of marginalization does not merely exclude ; it dehumanizes and strips individuals of their dignity.

More troubling still, many content creators do not engage in such practices out of hostility but rather from what may be called "ethical ignorance", a lack of awareness about the symbolic systems they inadvertently reproduce.

6.3 The Commodification of Suffering and Emotional Exploitation

A third recurring pattern of ethical deviation in visual content creation is the transformation of human suffering into a digital product for mass consumption. In this context, pain, poverty, illness, or natural disasters are portrayed in emotionally provocative ways, yet often without regard for the sensitivity of the context or the dignity of those being filmed.

Media scholar Kendall (2020) warns against the rise of a "culture of false empathy" in the digital space, where suffering is recycled as consumable content rather than treated as a human rights or social justice issue requiring a meaningful response (p. 88). This pattern is particularly evident in videos featuring children, the homeless, or individuals with disabilities, used to elicit viewer engagement but with no actual commitment to transforming the realities being depicted.

In such cases, the content creator is not a "documenter of reality," but an investor in pain profiting from turning tragedy into a fast-circulating digital commodity.

6.4 The Normalization of Irresponsibility

One of the gravest consequences of recurring unethical practices in visual content creation is that they cease to be isolated violations and gradually become "normalized" digital behaviors. Over time, such practices are reproduced without scrutiny, accepted by audiences without objection, and even adopted as the new benchmark for digital "success."

Scholar Helen Nissenbaum (2010) refers to this phenomenon as the **"erosion of ethical standards in technological environments,"** wherein behavioral norms become fluid due to the

absence of clear boundaries. Users are gradually habituated to actions that were once deemed unacceptable (p. 116) -a description that closely mirrors many current trends in visual content creation. Key manifestations of this normalization include :

- **Desensitization to superficial sensationalism** : The use of shocking footage, provocative titles, or embarrassing visuals becomes standard-justified as long as it drives engagement.
- **Tolerance of misinformation** : Audiences begin to accept dubious claims or exaggerated headlines, provided the content is "entertaining" or "seems plausible."
- **Turning human suffering into digital drama** : Scenes of poverty or hardship are marketed as displays of "human empathy," while actually serving to elicit emotion without critical context or genuine solidarity.
- **Erasure of awareness regarding others' digital rights** : People are filmed in public spaces-children, persons with disabilities- without consent or regard for their privacy, as if their presence automatically renders them "content-worthy."

This normalization not only deteriorates content quality but also produces a distorted model of the "successful" content creator : one who adheres not to professional ethics or social values, but solely to algorithmic incentives.

Media scholar Hans Bollinger (2019) warns that "ethically hollow success in the digital sphere generates a morally fragile audience, one that cannot distinguish between freedom and excess, or between humanity and spectacle" (p. 54).

Ultimately, silence in the face of such practices amounts to implicit complicity and facilitates their continued reproduction as normative. This, in turn, complicates the prospects of media education and weakens the foundation for a responsible digital environment.

7. GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR SELF-REGULATION AND DIGITAL RESPONSIBILITY

In light of the documented unethical practices and the erosion of standards in the realm of visual content creation, this study proposes a preliminary set of proposed principles for digital content creators. These principles are inspired by classical professional ethics while adapted to the specificities of the open digital environment.

Rather than being presented as a binding or definitive standard, this proposal serves as a platform for collective critical reflection intended to strengthen digital ethical awareness and support professional self-regulation among digital actors in the absence of institutional editorial control or formal regulation.

7.1 From Traditional Media to the Digital Sphere : The Need for Ethical Adaptation

The classical media experience has demonstrated the value of professional codes of ethics in regulating journalistic and media practices within standards that respect truth, human dignity, and responsibility. However, the digital environment, with its decentralized publishing and rapid interactivity, calls for a rethinking of these codes toward a model that addresses individuals as micro-institutions and provides them with self-regulatory tools to enable the production of responsible content without subjecting them to direct censorship.

In this context, the proposed framework is envisioned as a flexible and voluntary guide that reinforces the professional conscience of content creators, not by restricting their freedom, but by channeling it toward a humane and rational direction.

7.2 Proposed Ethical Principles

Drawing on media practice and communication ethics, this study proposes the following guiding principles as foundational pillars for any ethical framework related to visual content creation :

- **Truthfulness and Accuracy** : Ensuring the factual correctness of presented information, while avoiding misinformation or misleading contextual framing.
- **Respect for Human Dignity** : Refraining from depicting scenes that violate individuals' privacy or dignity, particularly in contexts involving suffering.
- **Fair Representation** : Presenting realistic diversity in characters and narratives, without reductionism or bias.
- **Privacy and Informed Consent** : Avoiding the filming or publication of footage involving children or vulnerable groups without explicit and documented consent.
- **Verification and Self-Accountability** : Reviewing content prior to publication and accepting moral and social responsibility for its impact.
- **Self-Critique and Content Reflection** : Resisting trends that conflict with ethical standards, and rejecting sensationalism as a means of gaining visibility.

These principles are not binding regulations, but rather a flexible ethical framework intended to help balance freedom with responsibility-transforming digital publishing from a purely technical act into a deliberate, value-driven practice.

The study suggests that these principles can be operationalized across four levels :

- **The Individual (Content Creator) :** Through voluntary commitment, critical self-review of content, and ongoing self-education.
- **The Platform :** By supporting visual guidance tools and adjusting algorithms to reward ethical quality rather than mere quantitative reach.
- **Academic and Media Institutions :** By integrating content creation ethics into curricula and organizing training workshops and awareness initiatives.
- **Legislative or Governmental Authorities :** By enacting clear legal frameworks that ensure minimum rights protection -particularly concerning privacy, the safeguarding of vulnerable groups, and combating digital misinformation- without infringing on freedom of expression. In this context, law does not replace ethics but rather supports it, providing the regulatory environment necessary for its activation.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND PROPOSALS

- Promote a culture of digital media literacy in schools and universities by integrating dedicated educational modules on the ethics of content creation and image production-aimed at cultivating a "critical digital citizen."

- Integrate ethical guidance features within digital platform interfaces that provide pre-publication prompts and self-assessment tools to assist creators in evaluating the moral dimensions of their visual content.
- Support responsible and informed content creation through funding programs or media partnerships that prioritize projects based on intellectual quality and cultural diversity rather than digital engagement metrics alone.
- Establish an independent digital observatory for media ethics to issue non-binding periodic reports that assess trends in video content, highlight best practices, and identify ethically concerning behaviors.
- Enforce legislation protecting privacy and human dignity in visual content, emphasizing that regulatory authorities are not meant to censor but to provide legal safeguards where digital rights are at risk.
- Encourage voluntary initiatives by content creators to develop "internal ethical guidelines" or "codes of conduct" that express their professional commitment to their audiences.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to offer a critical reading of the dialectic between ethical responsibility and image construction within the realm of visual content creation in the digital environment. It approached the topic by analyzing key framing concepts -such as digital ethics, social responsibility, and communication ethics- and by examining prevailing practices in the domain of digital video content, including symbolic representations, cognitive and psychological impacts, and recurring ethical violations.

The study demonstrates that visual content creation is not merely a technical or entertainment activity, but a powerful symbolic practice that reshapes awareness and meaning within the digital public sphere. The challenge, therefore, lies not only in the content itself, but also in the culture of publication and consumption—both of which, under the logic of platforms and algorithms, contribute to the normalization of irresponsible media behavior and the commodification of the image at the expense of ethical values.

The analysis further affirms that the freedom afforded by the digital space does not negate the need for self-regulatory ethical frameworks ones that reaffirm human dignity, the public's right to accurate information, and fair representation of the "other." In this spirit, the proposed ethical principles serve as an attempt to frame this freedom within rational responsibility, professional conscience, and a humanistic perspective that recognizes the inseparability of medium and impact.

BIBLIOGRAPHY LIST:

1. Al-Jabali, A. (2022). *Taḥawwulāt al-muḥtawā al-sha'bī fī al-manāṣṣāt al-raqamiyya: Min al-tathqīf ilā al-ithārah* [The transformation of popular content in digital platforms: From education to sensationalism]. *Majallat Buḥūth al-I'lām al-Jadīd*, 5(2), 88–105. *(In Arabic)*

2. Berger, J., & Frey, S. (2022). *Misinformation in the age of video : How false narratives spread through visual storytelling*. *Journal of Digital Media Research*, 17(3), 205–223.

3. Bourdieu, P. (1993). *The field of cultural production : Essays on art and literature*. Columbia University Press.

4. Christians, C. G., Fackler, M., Richardson, K. B., Kreshel, P. J., & Woods, R. H. (2009). *Media ethics : Cases and moral reasoning* (8th ed.). Pearson.

5. Commission on Freedom of the Press. (1947). *Taqrīr Lajnat Ḥurriyyat al-Ṣaḥāfa fī al-Wilāyāt al-Muttaḥida* [Report of the Commission on Freedom of the Press in the United States]. *(In Arabic)*

6. Ess, C. (2021). *Digital media ethics* (3rd ed.). Polity Press.

7. Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet : Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.

8. Hall, S., & Gill, R. (2019). *Visual culture and digital production : Representation in the age of platforms*. *New Media & Society*, 21(7), 1423–1440.

9. Kendall, D. (2020). *The illusion of empathy : Commodifying suffering in the digital era*. *Media & Society Quarterly*, 12(1), 81–94.

10. Lanier, J. (2018). *Ten arguments for deleting your social media accounts right now*. Henry Holt & Company.

11. McNair, B. (2017). *An introduction to political communication* (6th ed.). Routledge.

12. McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

13. Nissenbaum, H. (2010). *Privacy in context : Technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford University Press.

14. Okumbo, L., & Morales, R. (2021). *Margins on screen : The portrayal of vulnerable subjects in entertainment video*. *Global Media Studies Journal*, 9(1), 55–70.

15. Saber, Y. (2021). *Tabsīṭ al-ma'rifa wa-taḥaddiyāt al-tawāzun al-akhlāqī fī al-tadwīn al-raqamī* [Simplifying knowledge and the challenges of ethical balance in digital content creation]. *Majallat al-I'lām al-Ma'rifī*, 4(1), 72–86. *(In Arabic)*